

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION**

Angela Johnson, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,)	C/A NUMBER: 2:14-cv-01936-CWH
)	
)	
)	DEFENDANT CERTEGY CHECK
Plaintiff,)	SERVICES, INC.'S
v.)	ANSWERS TO LOCAL RULE 26.01
Complete Payment Recovery Services, Inc.,)	INTERROGATORIES
Certegy Check Services, Inc., and Fidelity)	
National Information Services, Inc.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Defendant Certegy Check Services, Inc. (“CCS”), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby answers the interrogatories propounded under local Rule 26.01 of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina.

(A) State the full name, address, and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of said interest.

Answer: **N/A: Defendant CCS is not aware of any party having a subrogation interest in the claims asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint.**

(B) As to each claim, state whether it is to be tried by a jury or non-jury and why.

Answer: **Plaintiff has demanded a jury trial in her Complaint.**

(C) State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly owned company and separately identify: (1) each publicly owned company of which it is a parent, subsidiary, partner, or affiliate; (2) each publicly owned company which owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares or other indicia of ownership of the party; and (3) each publicly owned company in which the party owns ten percent or more of the outstanding shares.

Answer: **Defendant CCS is not a publicly owned company.**

**(1) CCS is a subsidiary of Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.,
a publicly owned company;**

(2) N/A;

(3) N/A.

(D) State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division).

**Answer: N/A: Defendant is not challenging the appropriateness of the division
in which this action is pending.**

(E) Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this district, whether civil or criminal? If so, provide: (1) a short caption and the full case number of the related action; (2) an explanation of how the matters are related; and (3) a statement of the status of the related action. Counsel should disclose any cases which may be related regardless of whether they are still pending. Whether cases are related such that they should be assigned to a single judge will be determined by the Clerk of Court based on a determination of whether the cases: arise from the same or identical transactions, happenings, or events; involve the identical parties or property; or for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

Answer: No.

(F) If the defendant is improperly identified, give the proper identification and state whether counsel will accept service of any amended summons and pleading reflecting the correct identification.

Answer: N/A: Defendant CCS is properly identified.

(G) If you contend that some other person or legal entity is, in whole or in part, liable to you or the party asserting a claim against you in this matter, identify such person or entity and describe the basis of said liability.

Answer: N/A.

Respectfully submitted,

s/John J. Pringle, Jr.

John J. Pringle, Jr. (Fed. ID #6870)
Lyndey Ritz Zwingelberg (Fed. ID #11506)
ADAMS AND REESE LLP
1501 Main Street, Fifth Floor
Post Office Box 2285 (29202)
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
Telephone: (803) 254-4190
Facsimile: (803) 799-8479
jack.pringle@arlaw.com
lyndey.zwing@arlaw.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Certegy Check Services,
Inc.*

Columbia, South Carolina
May 23, 2014